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Abstract
The object of this paper is to present an universal model that describes the gear contact between two gears in a planar environment. The model includes elastic effects between the gear wheels. Using this model it is possible to create arbitrary spur gear connections as well as all kinds of epicyclic gearing configurations by supplying the proper external constraints. The presented model is implemented in the Modelica language and Dymola is used for the simulations.
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1 Introduction
Gear transmissions are widely used in almost all engineering applications. These range from cheap plastic consumer printers, aircraft actuators up to high precision positioning drive systems. The design of these transmissions is dependent on the application. This design process ranges from ”looking up a standard gear in a catalog and hope it will work” up to detailed dynamic analysis using Finite Elements Methods.

At the moment gear research is mainly focused on the understanding of gearboxes. Özgüven and Houser [4] wrote a model review in 1988, Parey and Tandon [6] did the same in 2003. These works present a good overview of the work done up till that time. More recent works can be sorted into 3 groups:

1. Rigid models or simple elastic systems with only rotational degrees of freedom [7, 3]
2. Coupled torsional and transversional elastic models[9, 1, 8, 5]
3. Self excited gears models; gear eccentricity, transmission errors and stiffness variations [3, 1, 9, 5]

Some of these mentioned works have friction effects included. Most of the recent works include a full transversional-torsional coupled model including either detailed friction effects or self excitation. There is a clear trend on an increasing model detail and complexity.

However, all the models above, are not flexible when gearing configurations like compound planetary gears or even more exotic configurations are used. In the pre-design stage of such a gearbox, reduction ratios as well as internal vibrations are usually important. In this paper a model will be presented that can simulate arbitrary elastic gearbox configurations by relying on a planar library. This approach makes it very easy to evaluate several model configurations without a lot of design work. To keep the simulation time low, the presented model does not include any friction effects, since they are often not directly necessary in the pre-design stage.

2 Gear Forces and Equations

In this chapter the forces and torques on the gear wheels are evaluated. Since these forces and torques differ for internal- and external toothing, these aspects are treated as separate cases.

2.1 Force and Moment balance of external toothing

In Figure 1 a schematic overview of two gear wheels in contact are shown. The rotation of the gear wheels are $\phi_A$ and $\phi_B$, shown by the angles to the body-fixed red and blue markers on the gear wheels.

The gear ratio is defined by:

$$\frac{r_A}{r_B} = -i$$

(1)

This ratio is constant for each gear angle and position.
Figure 1: Schematic overview of two gearwheels in contact. The blue and red line are fixed markers on the gear wheels. In the figure \( \phi_A > 0 \) and Gear A drives Gear B.

Figure 2 shows a free body diagram of the two gears in contact. The forces of only one contact point are displayed.

Figure 2: Free body diagram of the two gearwheels from Figure 1.

Using Figure 2, it is possible to create the torque and force balances of each gear wheel for external toothing configurations. These forces and torques are resolved in the fixed coordinate system shown in Figure 2. The use of a fixed coordinate system and gear angle \( \phi_{\text{gear}} \) makes it possible to use the contact model also in more complex gear systems (e.g. all kinds of Epicyclic gearing configurations).

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_A &= F_n r_A \\
\tau_B &= -F_n r_B \\
F_{xA} &= -\sin(\phi_{\text{gear}}) F_n \\
F_{yA} &= \cos(\phi_{\text{gear}}) F_n \\
F_{xB} &= -F_{xA} \\
F_{yB} &= -F_{yA}
\end{align*}
\]

3 Meshing distance

To keep track how the gear wheels move with respect to each other, the mesh distance \( x_{\text{mesh}} \) is introduced. This distance is defined as the distance the gear has traveled through the meshing point and can be calculated for both gear wheels. For the complete description of the mesh position the following assumption is postulated:

**Assumption 1** The mesh contact position is on the direct connection between the center of gear A and B at a distance \( r_A \) from the center of A.
This assumption is valid for all cases in which the deformation of the tooth is small. In all engineering applications this must be the case for gearwheels under normal loading conditions.

3.1 Mesh Distance External Tooothing

For external tooth engagement the mesh distance can be calculated as follows using the geometry and definitions from Figure 1.

\[ x_{mesh,A} = \phi_A r_A - \phi_{gear} r_A \]  
\[ x_{mesh,B} = -\phi_B r_B + \phi_{gear} r_B \]  

(14)  
(15)

From this equation it becomes clear that the mesh distance \( x_{mesh,A} \) or \( x_{mesh,B} \) can be constant although the gear wheels are rotating. This is the case if \( \phi_A = \phi_{gear} \) or \( \phi_B = \phi_{gear} \). This is not only a theoretical implication; in e.g. bicycle gear hubs this is often the case.

The difference between the mesh positions is the elasticity of the gear contact:

\[ \Delta AB = x_{mesh,A} - x_{mesh,B} \]  

(16)

Assuming the meshing position is always halfway the elastic deformation, together with using the equations 14 to 16 the mesh velocity is:

\[ v_{mesh} = \dot{x}_{mesh,A} - \frac{\Delta AB}{2} \]  

(17)

### 3.2 Mesh Distance Internal Tooothing

The same analysis method can be applied to the internal toothing:

\[ x_{mesh,A} = \phi_A r_A - \phi_{gear} r_A \]  
\[ x_{mesh,B} = \phi_B r_B - \phi_{gear} r_B \]  

(18)  
(19)

The difference between the mesh positions is as mentioned above the elasticity of the gear contact:

\[ \Delta AB = x_{mesh,A} - x_{mesh,B} \]  

(20)

Assuming the meshing position is always halfway the elastic deformation, together with using the equations 18 to 20 the mesh velocity is:

\[ v_{mesh} = \dot{x}_{mesh,A} - \frac{\Delta AB}{2} \]  

(21)

### 4 Gear Wheel Coupling

The gear wheels \( A \) and \( B \) are coupled by a spring-damper combination. This yields:

\[ F_n = \Delta ABC(\phi_{gear}A, \phi_B) + \Delta ABD(\phi_{gear}A, \phi_B) \]  

(22)

In this equation \( c(\phi_{gear}, \phi_A, \phi_B) \) is the angle dependent spring constant and \( d(\phi_{gear}, \phi_A, \phi_B) \) is the angle dependent damping constant.

#### 4.1 Position Dependent Stiffness

The angle dependency can be used to simulate a non constant tooth stiffness. The total tooth stiffness is the combined stiffness of both gearwheels. Since the circumference of a gearwheel is periodic by definition, the following assumption can be postulated:

**Assumption 2** The position dependent stiffness and damping of a gearwheel can be described by a Fourier decomposition.

One of the most basic forms of Assumption 2 is a single harmonic with zero phase offset that represents the tooth of the gear wheel. The stiffness over the circumference of a gearwheel can therefore be written as:

\[ c_A(\gamma_A) = c_{const} + c_{\Delta A} \sin(2\pi n_{tooth,A} \gamma_A) \]  
\[ c_B(\gamma_B) = c_{const} + c_{\Delta B} \sin(2\pi n_{tooth,B} \gamma_A) \]  

(23)  
(24)

In this equation \( \gamma_A \) is the angle which describes the position of the material on the gear wheel. The stiffness at the contact position however, is dependent on which
part of the gearwheel is in contact. The local stiffness can be obtained for an external gear by using:

\[ \gamma_A = \phi_A - \phi_{\text{gear}} \tag{25} \]
\[ \gamma_B = -\phi_B + \phi_{\text{gear}} \tag{26} \]

Substituting Equations 25 and 26 into Equations 23 and 24 leads to the stiffness at the contact position.

\[ c_{\text{cont},A} = c_{\text{const}} + c_{\Delta A} \sin(2\pi n_{\text{tooth},A}(\phi_A - \phi_{\text{gear}})) \tag{27} \]
\[ c_{\text{cont},B} = c_{\text{const}} + c_{\Delta B} \sin(2\pi n_{\text{tooth},B}(\phi_B + \phi_{\text{gear}})) \tag{28} \]

An internal gear configuration would yield:

\[ \gamma_A = \phi_A - \phi_{\text{gear}} \tag{29} \]
\[ \gamma_B = \phi_B - \phi_{\text{gear}} \tag{30} \]

leading to a contact stiffness of:

\[ c_{\text{cont},A} = c_{\text{const}} + c_{\Delta A} \sin(2\pi n_{\text{tooth},A}(\phi_A - \phi_{\text{gear}})) \tag{31} \]
\[ c_{\text{cont},B} = c_{\text{const}} + c_{\Delta B} \sin(2\pi n_{\text{tooth},B}(\phi_B - \phi_{\text{gear}})) \tag{32} \]

The overall stiffness can be calculated by putting both springs in series:

\[ c = \left( \frac{1}{c_{\text{cont},A}} + \frac{1}{c_{\text{cont},B}} \right)^{-1} \tag{33} \]

5 Modelica Implementation

The presented gear contact model must be supplied by constraints in the x, y and \( \phi \) direction (standard planar constraints). The Planar library from D. Zimmer [11] is used to supply these constraints. Features like (rotational) bearings, connection rods, inertias e.g. are all represented. The library will be used to create the total gearbox setup.

Implementation of the gear model in Modelica is straightforward using the sections above. The gear model is implemented with 2 planar interface connectors; each with 3 degrees of freedom (x,y,\( \phi \)). These connectors are the connections to the gearwheels A and B. To sense the total revolution angle \( \phi_{\text{gear}} \), the atan3 function is modified to supply a continuous and differentiable angle.

In Figure 5 the icons of the gear models are shown. No inertia’s or constraints are included in the model. Using the planar library, it is possible to create all kind of different gear configurations. Everything between simple spur gears models (Figure 6 and 7) up to complex epicyclic gearing configurations (Figure 8 and 9) is easily generated. In these models, the gearbox models (Figure 5) are defined as described in this paper, all other components are components of the planar library (see [11]).

6 Simulation Results

6.1 Eigenfrequency Analysis

Using the Modelica LinearSystems2 library, it is possible to create a Bode-Diagram of a linear system. Since a linear spring and damper are used for the contact stiffness, is is possible to use this toolbox. Using an eigenfrequency analysis it is possible to check the
behavior of the models.

6.1.1 Spur Gear Analysis

A Single Input Single Output (SISO) system of a simple spur gear model (as shown in Figure 6) is generated by applying a torque input on gearwheel \( A \), and using as output the angular position of gearwheel \( B \). The Bode-Diagram of this system\(^1\) is shown in Figure 10. In the diagram a clear peak can be found at 0.225 Hz. This is exactly the expected frequency \( \omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \simeq 0.225 \). The stiffness \( k = \frac{2}{\pi} \) and mass \( m = 1 \text{kg} \) have to be used since the system is a symmetrical system using only one spring (see e.g. [2]). Lowering of the eigenfrequency due to damping can be neglected due to the low damping coefficient.

6.1.2 Epicyclic Gear Analysis

A SISO system is created by defining an input torque on the sun (middle (blue) gear in Figure 9), as output the angular position of the carrier (grey structure). The Ring (red) is fixed, thereby eliminating vibrations of the ring structure. Each small planet is coupled to the planet rotating on the same axis. All bodies have the following properties: Mass 1 kg, Inertia 1 kgm\(^2\). All gear connections have a stiffness of 1 Nm, and a damping coefficient of 1e-3 Ns/m. The radius of the sun is

\[^1\text{The bodies have a rotational inertia of 1 kgm}^2, \text{the spring constant of the gear is 1 Nm, and a damping coefficient is 1e-3 Ns/m. Both gearwheels have a radius of 1m.}\]
1m, the connecting planet has a radius of 0.5m. The other gear part of the stepped planet has a radius of 1m. The ring has a diameter of 2.5m. Using this set up, a Bode-Diagram is made (see Figure 11). When evaluating the Bode diagram, two peaks and a single dip can be found in the magnitude diagram. These features correspond to the 3 eigenfrequencies of the system. The fact that only 3 peaks can be found in the Bode diagram is due to the fact that the planets all have the same masses and stiffnesses. When the stiffness of one of the Sun-Planet gear connections is lowered to $0.5 \frac{N}{m}$, another peak and dip in the magnitude diagram occurs, since now one of the planets will swing in an other frequency as the others (see Figure 12).

6.2 Internal vibrations

In Section 4.1 the possibility of an internal excitation of the gear through varying stiffness is shown (to simulate gear mesh effects). A demonstration of this excitation is shown for a simple spur gear. Gear $A$ is accelerated from $0 \frac{rad}{s}$ to $1 \frac{rad}{s}$ with a constant acceleration. A radius of 1m and 10 teeth for both gearwheels are assumed for this calculation. The constant tooth stiffness in the simulation is $1 \frac{N}{m}$, the stiffness ripple on both wheels is assumed to be 0.1%. Using a damping coefficient of $0.2 \frac{N m}{s}$ this yields a lightly damped system with a damping ratio $\zeta \approx 0.071$. In Figure 13 the elastic deformation ($\Delta_{AB}$) of the gear is shown. In Figure 13 also shows that the system is excited by the internal mesh stiffness variation. The response of the system is the largest when the eigenfrequency of the system approximates the excitation by the stiffness variation.

7 Conclusion

In this paper a model is presented to describe the contact between two gear wheels. Using an external planar library, it is possible to model arbitrary gear configurations ranging from simple spur gears up to complex epicyclic gear configurations. An option to simulate gear meshing effects by varying the stiffness of the gear contact is presented. The presented models make it possible to analyze complex gear configuration by means of time simulations as well as eigenfrequency
analyses. The presented simulation results show the power of the method, and illustrate the capability of the model.
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